

Corrections for the paper
 “Generalised Markov numbers”
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2020.01.010>

1 Definitions

Recall the definition of $\tilde{\Sigma}$ (Equation (6) on page 50 of the paper)

$$\tilde{\Sigma}((\alpha, a), (\beta, b), (\gamma, c)) = \check{K}(\alpha\beta).$$

By the definitions of \otimes on page 50 and of L_σ and R_σ on page 36 we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_\otimes((\alpha, a), (\beta, b), (\gamma, c)) &= \left((\alpha, a), \otimes((\alpha, a), (\beta, b), (\gamma, c)), (\beta, b) \right) \\ &= \left((\alpha, a), \left(\alpha\beta, \tilde{\Sigma}((\alpha, a), (\beta, b), (\gamma, c)) \right), (\beta, b) \right) \\ &= \left((\alpha, a), (\alpha\beta, \check{K}(\alpha\beta)), (\beta, b) \right), \\ R_\otimes((\alpha, a), (\beta, b), (\gamma, c)) &= \left((\alpha, a), \otimes((\beta, b), (\gamma, c), (\alpha, a)), (\beta, b) \right) \\ &= \left((\beta, b), \left(\beta\gamma, \tilde{\Sigma}((\beta, b), (\gamma, c), (\alpha, a)) \right), (\gamma, c) \right) \\ &= \left((\beta, b), (\beta\gamma, \check{K}(\beta\gamma)), (\gamma, c) \right). \end{aligned}$$

2 Corollary 7.19

Corollary 7.19 says

$$\tilde{\Sigma}\left((\alpha, a), (\alpha\beta, \check{K}(\alpha\beta)), (\beta, b)\right) = \frac{\check{K}(\alpha^2)}{\check{K}(\alpha^2)} \check{K}(\alpha\beta) - \check{K}(\beta).$$

This misleading since this formula holds only for a triple formed by concatenation

$$\left((\alpha, a), (\alpha\beta, \check{K}(\alpha\beta)), (\beta, b) \right).$$

This formula does not work for an arbitrary triple

$$\left((\alpha, a), (\beta, b), (\gamma, c) \right).$$

It should read like this

Corollary (Corollary 7.19). Let $a = \check{K}(a)$, $b = \check{K}(\alpha\beta)$, and $c = \check{K}(\beta)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Sigma}((\alpha, a), (\alpha\beta, b), (\beta, c)) &= \frac{\check{K}(\alpha^2)}{\check{K}(\alpha)} \check{K}(\alpha\beta) - \check{K}(\beta) \\ &= \frac{\check{K}(\alpha^2)}{\check{K}(\alpha)} b - c,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Sigma}((\alpha\beta, b), (\beta, c), (\alpha, a)) &= \frac{\check{K}(\beta^2)}{\check{K}(\beta)} \check{K}(\alpha\beta) - \check{K}(\alpha) \\ &= \frac{\check{K}(\beta^2)}{\check{K}(\beta)} b - a.\end{aligned}$$

From this, the last line in Remark 6.19 should read

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Sigma}((\alpha, a), (\alpha\beta, b), (\beta, c)) &= \Sigma(a, b, c) = 3ab - c, \\ \tilde{\Sigma}((\alpha\beta, b), (\beta, c), (\alpha, a)) &= \Sigma(b, c, a) = 3cb - a.\end{aligned}$$

3 Examples

With this corrected corollary in place we give an example. We use the following notation for a triple (α, β, γ)

$$P(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (\check{K}(\alpha), \check{K}(\beta), \check{K}(\gamma)).$$

Example 1. Let $\alpha = (1, 1, 1, 1)$ and $\beta = (2, 2)$. For the triple $(\alpha, \alpha\beta, \beta)$ we have

$$P(\alpha, \alpha\beta, \beta) = (3, 13, 2).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}P(L(\alpha, \alpha\beta, \beta)) &= P(\alpha, \alpha\alpha\beta, \alpha\beta) = (3, 89, 13), \\ P(R(\alpha, \alpha\beta, \beta)) &= P(\alpha\beta, \alpha\beta\beta, \beta) = (13, 75, 2).\end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}P(L^2(\alpha, \alpha\beta, \beta)) &= P(\alpha, \alpha\alpha\alpha\beta, \alpha\alpha\beta) = (3, 610, 89), \\ P(RL(\alpha, \alpha\beta, \beta)) &= P(\alpha\alpha\beta, \alpha\alpha\beta\alpha\beta, \alpha\beta) = (89, 3468, 13), \\ P(LR(\alpha, \alpha\beta, \beta)) &= P(\alpha\beta, \alpha\beta\alpha\beta\beta, \alpha\beta\beta) = (13, 2923, 75), \\ P(R^2(\alpha, \alpha\beta, \beta)) &= P(\alpha\beta\beta, \alpha\beta\beta\beta, \beta) = (75, 437, 2).\end{aligned}$$

Now we use $\tilde{\Sigma}$. First note that

$$\frac{\check{K}(\alpha^2)}{\check{K}(\alpha)} = 7, \quad \frac{\check{K}(\beta^2)}{\check{K}(\beta)} = 6.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{\otimes}((\alpha, 3), (\alpha\beta, 13), (\beta, 2)) &= \left((\alpha, 3), \left(\alpha\alpha\beta, \tilde{\Sigma}((\alpha, 3), (\alpha\beta, 13), (\beta, 2)) \right), (\alpha\beta, 13) \right) \\
&= \left((\alpha, 3), \left(\alpha\alpha\beta, \frac{\check{K}(\alpha^2)}{\check{K}(\alpha)} 13 - 2 \right), (\alpha\beta, 13) \right) \\
&= \left((\alpha, 3), (\alpha\alpha\beta, 7 \cdot 13 - 2 = 89), (\alpha\beta, 13) \right), \\
R_{\otimes}((\alpha, 3), (\alpha\beta, 13), (\beta, 2)) &= \left((\alpha\beta, 13), \left(\alpha\beta\beta, \tilde{\Sigma}((\alpha\beta, 13), (\beta, 2), (\alpha, 3)) \right), (\beta, 2) \right) \\
&= \left((\alpha\beta, 13), \left(\alpha\beta\beta, \frac{\check{K}(\beta^2)}{\check{K}(\beta)} 13 - 3 \right), (\beta, 2) \right) \\
&= \left((\alpha\beta, 13), (\alpha\beta\beta, 6 \cdot 13 - 3 = 75), (\beta, 2) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

For the next triples we just calculate $\tilde{\Sigma}$. We have

$$\frac{\check{K}(\alpha\beta\alpha\beta)}{\check{K}(\alpha\beta)} = 39.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\alpha\alpha\alpha\beta, \tilde{\Sigma}((\alpha, 3), (\alpha\alpha\beta, 89), (\alpha\beta, 13)) \right) &= \left(\alpha\alpha\alpha\beta, \frac{\check{K}(\alpha^2)}{\check{K}(\alpha)} \check{K}(\alpha\alpha\beta) - \check{K}(\alpha\beta) \right) \\
&= (\alpha\alpha\alpha\beta, 7 \cdot 89 - 13 = 610), \\
\left(\alpha\alpha\beta\alpha\beta, \tilde{\Sigma}((\alpha\alpha\beta, 89), (\alpha\beta, 13), (\alpha, 3)) \right) &= \left(\alpha\alpha\beta\alpha\beta, \frac{\check{K}(\alpha\beta\alpha\beta)}{\check{K}(\alpha\beta)} \check{K}(\alpha\alpha\beta) - \check{K}(\alpha) \right) \\
&= (\alpha\alpha\beta\alpha\beta, 39 \cdot 89 - 3 = 3468), \\
\left(\alpha\beta\alpha\beta\beta, \tilde{\Sigma}((\alpha\beta, 13), (\alpha\beta\beta, 75), (\beta, 2)) \right) &= \left(\alpha\beta\alpha\beta\beta, \frac{\check{K}(\alpha\beta\alpha\beta)}{\check{K}(\alpha\beta)} \check{K}(\alpha\beta\beta) - \check{K}(\beta) \right) \\
&= (\alpha\alpha\alpha\beta, 39 \cdot 75 - 2 = 2923), \\
\left(\alpha\beta\beta\beta, \tilde{\Sigma}((\alpha\beta\beta, 75), (\beta, 2), (\alpha\beta, 13)) \right) &= \left(\alpha\beta\beta\beta, \frac{\check{K}(\beta^2)}{\check{K}(\beta)} \check{K}(\alpha\beta\beta) - \check{K}(\alpha\beta) \right) \\
&= (\alpha\alpha\alpha\beta, 6 \cdot 75 - 13 = 437),
\end{aligned}$$

4 Theorem 7.15

We change this theorem to the following.

Theorem (Theorem 7.15). *Let n be a positive even integer. Let m and r be non-negative integers such that $m + r > 0$. Let α , λ , and ρ be the following sequences of positive integers*

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha &= (a_1, \dots, a_n), \\
\lambda &= (b_1, \dots, b_m), \\
\rho &= (c_1, \dots, c_r).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have that

$$\frac{\check{K}(\alpha^2)}{\check{K}(\alpha)} = \frac{\check{K}(\lambda\alpha^2\rho) + \check{K}(\lambda\rho)}{\check{K}(\lambda\alpha\rho)}. \quad (1)$$

Proof. **The proof when m and r are both positive is the same as in the paper.** Let $\rho = ()$. Equation (11) becomes

$$K(\alpha)\check{K}(\lambda\alpha) + K_2^{n-1}(\alpha)\check{K}(\lambda\alpha) - \check{K}(\lambda\alpha^2) - \check{K}(\lambda) = 0.$$

Substituting

$$\check{K}(\lambda\alpha^2) = K(\lambda\alpha)\check{K}(\alpha) + \check{K}(\lambda\alpha)K_2^{n-1}(\alpha)$$

into this equation we get

$$K(\alpha)\check{K}(\lambda\alpha) + K_2^{n-1}(\alpha)\check{K}(\lambda\alpha) - K(\lambda\alpha)\check{K}(\alpha) - \check{K}(\lambda\alpha)K_2^{n-1}(\alpha) - \check{K}(\lambda) = 0$$

which, after cancelling terms, becomes

$$K(\alpha)\check{K}(\lambda\alpha) - K(\lambda\alpha)\check{K}(\alpha) - \check{K}(\lambda) = 0.$$

Into this equation we substitute the equalities

$$\check{K}(\lambda\alpha) = K(\lambda)\check{K}(\alpha) + \check{K}(\lambda)K_2^{n-1}(\alpha),$$

$$K(\lambda\alpha) = K(\lambda)K(\alpha) + \check{K}(\lambda)K_2^n(\alpha),$$

from which we get

$$\begin{aligned} & K(\alpha)K(\lambda)\check{K}(\alpha) + K(\alpha)\check{K}(\lambda)K_2^{n-1}(\alpha) \\ & - \check{K}(\alpha)K(\lambda)K(\alpha) - \check{K}(\alpha)\check{K}(\lambda)K_2^n(\alpha) - \check{K}(\lambda) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Cancelling terms this becomes

$$K(\alpha)\check{K}(\lambda)K_2^{n-1}(\alpha) - \check{K}(\alpha)\check{K}(\lambda)K_2^n(\alpha) - \check{K}(\lambda) = 0$$

This equation holds since $K(\alpha)K_2^{n-1}(\alpha) - \check{K}(\alpha)K_2^n(\alpha) = 1$, as n is even.

The proof when $\lambda = ()$ is similar, so we don't give as much detail here. Equation (11) is now

$$K(\alpha)\check{K}(\alpha\rho) + K_2^{n-1}(\alpha)\check{K}(\alpha\rho) - \check{K}(\alpha^2\rho) - \check{K}(\rho) = 0.$$

Splitting the continuant $\check{K}(\alpha^2\rho)$ and cancelling terms leads us to the equation

$$K_2^{n-1}(\alpha)\check{K}(\alpha\rho) - \check{K}(\alpha)K_2^{n+r-1}(\alpha\rho) - \check{K}(\rho) = 0.$$

Once more splitting the continuants $\check{K}(\alpha\rho)$ and $K_2^{n+r-1}(\alpha\rho)$ and cancelling terms leads us to the equation

$$K_2^{n-1}(\alpha)K(\alpha)\check{K}(\rho) - \check{K}(\alpha)K_2^n(\alpha)\check{K}(\rho) - \check{K}(\rho) = 0,$$

which holds since $K(\alpha)K_2^{n-1}(\alpha) - \check{K}(\alpha)K_2^n(\alpha) = 1$, as n is even. ■

5 Example 7.22

A triple $(\check{K}(\mu), \check{K}(\mu\nu), \check{K}(\nu))$ in a graph is followed by the triples

$$\begin{aligned}(\check{K}(\mu), \check{K}(\mu^2\nu), \check{K}(\mu\nu)) &= (\check{K}(\mu), \frac{\check{K}(\mu^2)}{\check{K}(\mu)}\check{K}(\mu\nu) - \check{K}(\nu), \check{K}(\mu\nu)), \\(\check{K}(\mu\nu), \check{K}(\mu\nu^2), \check{K}(\nu)) &= (\check{K}(\mu\nu), \frac{\check{K}(\nu^2)}{\check{K}(\nu)}\check{K}(\mu\nu) - \check{K}(\mu), \check{K}(\nu)).\end{aligned}$$

Let us call the values

$$\frac{\check{K}(\mu^2)}{\check{K}(\mu)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\check{K}(\nu^2)}{\check{K}(\nu)}$$

the *Markov values* of μ and ν respectively.

Example 2. Let $\alpha = (1, 1)^n$ and $\beta = (2, 2)^m$ for some positive integers n and m . Consider the graph $T_{\alpha, \beta}$. The starting triple in this graph is

$$(\check{K}(\alpha), \check{K}(\alpha\beta), \check{K}(\beta)),$$

followed by the triples

$$(\check{K}(\alpha), \check{K}(\alpha^2\beta), \check{K}(\alpha\beta)), \quad (\check{K}(\alpha\beta), \check{K}(\alpha\beta^2), \check{K}(\beta)).$$

Let $(\check{K}(\gamma), \check{K}(\gamma\rho), \check{K}(\rho))$ be any triple in the graph other than the triples

$$\begin{aligned}(\check{K}(\alpha), \check{K}(\alpha^i\beta), \check{K}(\alpha^{i-1}\beta)) &= \left(\check{K}(\alpha), \frac{\check{K}(\alpha^2)}{\check{K}(\alpha)}\check{K}(\alpha^{i-1}\beta) - \check{K}(\alpha^{i-2}\beta), \check{K}(\alpha^{i-1}\beta) \right) \\(\check{K}(\alpha\beta^{i-1}), \check{K}(\alpha\beta^i), \check{K}(\beta)) &= \left(\check{K}(\alpha\beta^{i-1}), \frac{\check{K}(\beta^2)}{\check{K}(\beta)}\check{K}(\alpha\beta^{i-1}) - \check{K}(\alpha\beta^{i-2}), \check{K}(\beta) \right)\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

for $i \geq 1$, which we deal with separately. Then both sequences γ and ρ are of the form

$$(1, 1, \dots, 2, 2).$$

As such they satisfy the conditions of Proposition 7.21, from which we have that

$$\frac{\check{K}(\gamma^2)}{\check{K}(\gamma)} = 3\check{K}(\gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\check{K}(\rho^2)}{\check{K}(\rho)} = 3\check{K}(\rho).$$

From this we can build the entire tree $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ if we know the starting triple

$$(\check{K}(\alpha), \check{K}(\alpha\beta), \check{K}(\beta))$$

and the two Markov values

$$\frac{\check{K}(\alpha^2)}{\check{K}(\alpha)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\check{K}(\beta^2)}{\check{K}(\beta)}.$$

There are two paths in the tree, given in Equation (2), that depend on the Markov values

$$\frac{\check{K}(\alpha^2)}{\check{K}(\alpha)}, \quad \frac{\check{K}(\beta^2)}{\check{K}(\beta)}.$$

These have the values

$$\frac{\check{K}(\alpha^2)}{\check{K}(\alpha)} = 3\check{K}(\alpha), \quad \frac{\check{K}(\beta^2)}{\check{K}(\beta)} = 3\check{K}(\beta),$$

if $n = 1$ and $m = 1$. This is the case of regular Markov numbers. However this is not true for $n > 1$ and $m > 1$, as we show now in Proposition 1.

Proposition 1. *Let a be a positive integer and $\alpha = (a, \dots, a)$, where a is repeated an even number of times. Then the value*

$$\frac{\check{K}(\alpha^{2n})}{\check{K}(\alpha^n)^2}$$

is an integer if and only if $\alpha = (1, 1)$ or $\alpha = (2, 2)$.

Proof. Let the number of elements in the sequence α^n be k . Then

$$\check{K}(\alpha^{2n}) = K(\alpha^n) + K_2^{k-1}(\alpha^n).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\check{K}(\alpha^{2n})}{\check{K}(\alpha^n)^2} &= \frac{K(\alpha^n) + K_2^{k-1}(\alpha^n)}{K_1^{k-1}(\alpha^n)} \\ &= \frac{aK_2^k(\alpha^n) + K_3^k(\alpha^n) + K_2^{k-1}(\alpha^n)}{K_1^{k-1}(\alpha^n)} \\ &= \frac{aK_2^k(\alpha^n) + 2K_2^{k-1}(\alpha^n)}{K_1^{k-1}(\alpha^n)} \\ &= a + \frac{2}{\frac{K_1^{k-1}(\alpha^n)}{K_2^{k-1}(\alpha^n)}}. \end{aligned}$$

This value is an integer if $K_1^{k-1}(\alpha^n) = 1$ or 2 or $K_1^{k-1}(\alpha^n) = K_2^{k-1}(\alpha^n)$. These conditions are satisfied if and only if $\alpha = (1, 1)$ or $\alpha = (2, 2)$. In both cases the initial value is

$$\frac{\check{K}(\alpha^{2n})}{\check{K}(\alpha^n)^2} = 3. \quad \blacksquare$$

The statement in Example 7.22 is therefore not correct. However, if we know the starting sequences $\alpha = (1, 1)^n$ and $\beta = (2, 2)^m$, then we have can derive the graph $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ using $\tilde{\Sigma}$, the formula in Question 1, and the triple

$$(\check{K}(\alpha), \check{K}(\alpha\beta), \check{K}(\beta)).$$

6 Other corrections

At the start of Subsection 5.3 we have the formula for Σ . This should be

$$\Sigma(a, b, c) = 3ab - c.$$

Typo in Theorem 6.20: The second bullet point should read “The maps P, Q, and T are as in Fig. 6”.

In Figure 8, the box labelled “Generalised Markov triples in $\mathcal{G}_{\otimes}(\mu, \nu)$ (or in $T_{\mu, \nu}$)” is not clear. We add the following remark replacing Remark 6.21.

Remark. Triples in the graph $\mathcal{G}_{\otimes}(\mu, \nu)$ are of the form

$$((\alpha, a), (\alpha\beta, c), (\beta, b)).$$

There is a trivial map Q from these triples to triples of sequences

$$((\alpha, a), (\alpha\beta, c), (\beta, b)) \mapsto (\alpha, \alpha\beta, \beta).$$

Maps S and Y are derived from this trivial map.

It is not known to the authors whether there exists a map Q from the triples in $T_{\mu, \nu}$

$$(a, c, b) \mapsto (\alpha, \alpha\beta, \beta).$$

Similarly, maps S and Y are not known to exist from $T_{\mu, \nu}$. For this reason they are marked with dashed lines.